

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

GTSI CORP.,	§
	§ No. 762, 2010
Plaintiff Below-	§
Appellant,	§
	§ Court Below-Court of Chancery
v.	§ of the State of Delaware
	§ C.A. No. 5815
EYAK TECHNOLOGY, LLC; THE	§
EYAK CORPORATION; GLOBAL	§
TECHNOLOGY, LLC; KEITH	§
GORDAOFF; SYLVIA LANGE;	§
JIM UJIOKA; ROD WORL; and	§
QUANG LE,	§
	§
Defendants Below-	§
Appellees.	§

Submitted: December 29, 2010

Decided: January 10, 2011

Before **HOLLAND, BERGER** and **JACOBS**, Justices

ORDER

This 10th day of January 2011, it appears to the Court that:

(1) The plaintiff-appellant, GTSI Corp., has petitioned this Court, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 42, to accept an appeal from the interlocutory order of the Court of Chancery dated November 15, 2010, which granted the appellees' motion to stay proceedings on the appellant's complaint in the Court of Chancery pending a decision by an arbitrator.

(2) The appellant filed its application for certification to take an interlocutory appeal in the Court of Chancery on November 24, 2010. On December 15, 2010, the Court of Chancery denied the application for certification on the ground that the requirements for certification of an interlocutory appeal pursuant to Rule 42 had not been met.

(3) Applications for interlocutory review are addressed to the sound discretion of this Court. In the exercise of its discretion, the Court has concluded that the appellant's application for interlocutory review fails to meet the requirements of Supreme Court Rule 42 and, therefore, must be refused.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the interlocutory appeal is REFUSED.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Carolyn Berger
Justice